

Project Virtual Orienteering

Presenter/s Andrew, Caitlin, Jay

Evaluator Caitlin Trafton

Rating:

1 – unacceptable; 2 – below expectations; 3 – meets expectations; 4 – exceeds expectations;

Assignment statement: At the end of a NMD306 project students are expected to deliver two items: a) oral presentation explaining their work and results, and b) written report describing their work in more detail. This form helps instructors and 306 peers to evaluate students’ work but can also be used by students to guide their preparation of the presentation. A separate file explains how written reports are evaluated. The goal of the oral presentation is to present the results of the project to a technical audience, e.g. fellow students and faculty. It should cover all relevant methods, accomplishments and final results of the project. Each member of the team is expected to cover some part of the presentation. The length of the presentation should be determined after a discussion with instructor and team leader.

Team Member	#1 (Andrew)	#2 (Jay)	#3 (Caitlin)
Overall organization	0	1	1
Preparedness	0	2	2
Visual aids Quality/effect	0	0	2
Technical New Media Content	0	0	2
Delivery	2	1	1
Handling of questions	0	0	2
Effective use of Time	0	0	1
Total individual score	0	1	2
Overall group score	1	1	1

General comments:

Our Presentation was a flop. Andrew was only supposed to summarize the Introduction, instead he dove into everything our project had to offer. I’ll admit, I probably confused the audience more. Jay I think over talked the budget and materials. As a whole, none of our individual parts matched; we did not rehearse, and that was apparent. Trudy seemed to grasp what we were getting at, but I am sure it was because of her willingness to understand.